	Case 2:23-cv-01480-DAD-KJN Docume	ent 8 Filed 10/03/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	VIRGIL DOUGLAS RANDALL,	No. 2:23-cv-1480 DAD KJN P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
14	RICHARD J. DONOVAN CORRECTIONAL FACILITY,	RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Respondent.	
16		
17		
18	By order filed August 1, 2023, petitioner's application for writ of habeas corpus was	
19	dismissed, and he was granted thirty days leave to file an amended petition and to submit an	
20	affidavit in support of his request to proceed in forma pauperis or the appropriate court filing fee.	
21	On September 12, 2023, it was recommended that the action be dismissed based on petitioner's	
22	failure to file an amended petition and failure to file an application to proceed in forma pauperis	
23	or pay the court's filing fee. (ECF No. 7.) On that same day, petitioner's amended petition was	
24	docketed. (ECF No. 6.) Therefore, the prior recommendations are vacated.	
25	However, petitioner has not filed an affidavit or paid the filing fee. The court is unable to	
26	address the amended petition until petitioner either pays the filing fee or is granted leave to	
27	proceed in forma pauperis.	
28	////	1

## Case 2:23-cv-01480-DAD-KJN Document 8 Filed 10/03/23 Page 2 of 2

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the September 12, 2023 findings and recommendations (ECF No. 7) are vacated; and IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written objections with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: October 3, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE /rand1480.fifp